

AP

Requested Patent: EP0989770A1

Title:

PACKET TRANSFER CONTROL APPARATUS AND SCHEDULING METHOD THEREFOR ;

Abstracted Patent: EP0989770 ;

Publication Date: 2000-03-29 ;

Inventor(s): CHUGO AKIRA (JP); XU YAN (JP) ;

Applicant(s): FUJITSU LTD (JP) ;

Application Number: EP19990118019 19990921 ;


Priority Number(s): JP19980267673 19980922 ;

IPC Classification: H04Q11/04 ; H04L12/56 ; H04L29/06 ;

Equivalents: JP2000101637 (JP00101637)

ABSTRACT:

A packet transfer control apparatus, and a scheduling method therefor, having a multi-queue configuration provided with a plurality of queues for storing packets, wherein, when processing to select and transfer the head packet having the earliest scheduled transfer finish time from the head packets in N number of queues Q_i to Q_N provided in correspondence with the respective flows, a scheduler clusters head packets having a low dependency upon changes in relative order of the scheduled transfer finish times with respect to changes in the entire reserved bandwidths of the backlog queues, holds the first order packets having the earliest scheduled transfer finish times among the clusters C_1 to C_M , reuses the output priorities in the clusters calculated in the past as they are at the output for transfer of the packets to calculate the scheduled transfer finish times for only the first order packets in the clusters among the clusters, and selects the packet with the earliest calculated time as the top priority packet.



Europäisches Patentamt
European Patent Office
Office européen des brevets



(11) EP 0 989 770 A1

(12) EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION

(43) Date of publication:
29.03.2000 Bulletin 2000/13

(51) Int Cl.7: H04Q 11/04, H04L 12/56,
H04L 29/06

(21) Application number: 99118019.1

(22) Date of filing: 21.09.1999

(84) Designated Contracting States:
AT BE CH CY DE DK ES FI FR GB GR IE IT LI LU
MC NL PT SE
Designated Extension States:
AL LT LV MK RO SI

(72) Inventors:
• Xu, Yan
Nakahara-ku, Kawasaki-shi, Kanagawa 211 (JP)
• Chugo, Akira
Nakahara-ku, Kawasaki-shi, Kanagawa 211 (JP)

(30) Priority: 22.09.1998 JP 26767398

(74) Representative: HOFFMANN - EITLE
Patent- und Rechtsanwälte
Arabellastrasse 4
81925 München (DE)


(71) Applicant: FUJITSU LIMITED
Kawasaki-shi, Kanagawa 211-8588 (JP)

(54) Packet transfer control apparatus and scheduling method therefor

(57) A packet transfer control apparatus, and a scheduling method therefor, having a multi-queue configuration provided with a plurality of queues for storing packets, wherein, when processing to select and transfer the head packet having the earliest scheduled transfer finish time from the head packets in N number of queues Q_1 to Q_N provided in correspondence with the respective flows, a scheduler clusters head packets having a low dependency upon changes in relative order

of the scheduled transfer finish times with respect to changes in the entire reserved bandwidths of the backlog queues, holds the first order packets having the earliest scheduled transfer finish times among the clusters C_1 to C_M , reuses the output priorities in the clusters calculated in the past as they are at the output for transfer of the packets to calculate the scheduled transfer finish times for only the first order packets in the clusters among the clusters, and selects the packet with the earliest calculated time as the top priority packet.

Fig. 1



EP 0 989 770 A1

Description

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

5 1. Field of the Invention

[0001] The present invention relates to a packet transfer control apparatus having a multi-queue configuration provided with a plurality of queues for storing packets and a scheduling method therefor, more particularly relates to a packet transfer control apparatus for efficiently selecting and outputting a packet capable of finishing being transferred the earliest from among head packets in the queues and a scheduling method therefor.

10 [0002] In a packet transfer network, in order to guarantee the quality of service (QoS) for every flow (a plurality of consecutive packets which a user has requested the transfer of) and to utilize resources such as the transmission paths (bandwidth available for transmission) to the maximum to improve the service, it is requested that the packet transfer control apparatus be provided with a "weighted multi-queueing" function where it is provided with a plurality of queues corresponding to the flows and weight the queues with an order of transfer so as to control them for a fair packet transfer among queues and a "fair queueing" function where remaining resources (leftover bandwidth) are fairly distributed among flows temporarily so as to make efficient use of the resources.

15 [0003] In the case of traffic data such as file transfer protocol (FTP) data or world wide web (WWW) data, it is considered important to transfer the data of each flow fairly at a high speed by utilizing the resources to the maximum limit. The routers etc. of gateways of the network have been required to perform high speed processing, in correspondence with the high speed output links, of control for allocating to each flow and guaranteeing a bandwidth reserved for each of these flows (or sets of flows) and, at the same time, fairly reallocating the leftover resources in output links among these flows.

20 [0004] On the other hand, in the case of voice traffic data such as voice over IP (VoIP), it is more important that a fixed transfer rate be guaranteed and a delay jitter be minimized rather than the bandwidth of the links being used fairly and to the maximum limit.

[0005] The present invention deals with the transfer of packets with different required qualities of service (QoS), focuses on:

- 30 1) a structure for performing high speed processing of transfer order control (scheduling) of individual packets in accordance with different requests for quality of service for every type of the traffic data and
2) a structure for linking these individual structures and effectively utilizing the entire bandwidth, and will be applied to high speed multimedia networks in the future.

35 2. Description of the Related Art

[0006] As the fair queueing technique, weighted fair queueing (WFQ) and start-time fair queueing (SFQ) are well known.

40 [0007] The principle of fair queueing based on this weighted fair queueing (WFQ) will be explained in detail later by using Fig. 5. As clear from the explanation using Fig. 5, in transfer control by weighted fair queueing (WFQ), the scheduled transfer finish times $F_{i,1}$ are calculated for the respective head packets in the queues Q_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, N$) and priority is assigned to the order of output by the calculated value so as to achieve fairness of the packet transfer among flows and the effective utilization of resources.

45 [0008] The scheduled transfer finish times $F_{i,1}$ of the head packets in the queues Q_i are calculated by equation (1) given later.

[0009] The calculation of equation (1) includes the calculation of the bandwidths allocated to the queues Q_i . These vary due to the change of the backlog queues with every transfer of a packet as shown in equation (2) and equation (3) given later. The allocated bandwidths $r_{i,1}$ of the next head packets cannot be correctly calculated before the end of the output processing of the packets immediately before them.

50 [0010] Namely, whenever a new head packet is output, the calculation must be redone. In addition, the results of the calculations for the scheduled head packet transfer finish times $F_{i,1}$ of the queues calculated at the time of the previous packet outputs cannot be used at all for the next packet outputs even for head packets remaining in the queues Q_i without being selected. This invited an increase in the amount of calculation.

55 [0011] On the other hand, known in the art is the technique of introducing virtual time in order to solve the problem of the increase of the amount of calculation due to the change of the allocated bandwidths $r_{i,1}$. By utilizing virtual time, equation (1) can also be represented by an equation including a virtual time $V(t)$, a sum value Φ_b of the reserved bandwidths of the backlog queues and the resource bandwidth R as will be explained later.

[0012] The virtual time $V(t)$ is recalculated whenever the sum value Φ_b of the reserved bandwidths of the backlog

queues and the resource bandwidth R change, but realization is easy since the scheduled transfer finish time $F_{i,1}$ can be determined at the time of arrival of the packet.

[0013] When virtual time is introduced, the time axis of the scheduled transfer finish time $F_{i,1}$ ends up becoming its own axis which is different from the actual time axis. Thus, when a plurality of schedulers for controlling the order of transfer of the packets are provided in accordance with the quality of service request (QoS), the time axes end up becoming different among schedulers. Therefore, there is a problem that the link among schedulers, for example, the processing for selecting the output packet having the top priority among the schedulers, becomes difficult.

[0014] Further, it has been sometimes pointed out that, if a control of the packet transfer is achieved by the fair reallocation of resources like weighted fair queueing (WFQ) without using virtual time, the amount of calculation for determining the order of the transfer (scheduling) becomes extremely large, so it is difficult to apply this to a high speed link.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

[0015] Therefore, an object of the present invention is to provide a packet transfer control apparatus, and a scheduling method therefor, which greatly reduce the amount of calculation for scheduling of the packet transfer in fair queueing for guaranteeing different qualities of service (QoS) for the respective flows and utilizing the resources of the transmission paths to the maximum limit, which enable high speed packet transfer processing, and which in addition can easily achieve a link among a plurality of provided schedulers in accordance with the requested qualities of service (QoS).

[0016] When further concretely describing this, to solve the problem, in the calculation for selecting the top priority packet to be output for transfer, (i) the range of the packets directly influenced by the change of the bandwidths $r_{i,1}$ allocated to the queues Q_i is limited so as to calculate the scheduled transfer finish times $F_{i,1}$ with respect to only limited packets and (ii) the results of the past calculations are reused for other packets to omit the above calculations, whereby a reduction of the amount of calculation of the weighted fair queueing (WFQ) is achieved.

[0017] To achieve the above object, the present invention provides a packet transfer control apparatus having a multi-queue configuration provided with a plurality of queues each storing packets and a scheduling method therefor wherein, when processing to select and transfer the head packet having the earliest scheduled transfer finish time from the head packets in N number of queues Q_1 to Q_N (1-2) provided in correspondence with the respective flows, a scheduler (1-1) clusters head packets having a low dependency upon changes in relative order of the scheduled transfer finish times with respect to changes in the entire reserved bandwidths of the backlog queues, holds the first order packets having the earliest scheduled transfer finish times among the clusters C_1 to C_M , reuses the output priorities in the clusters calculated in the past as they are at the output for transfer of the packets to calculate the scheduled transfer finish times for only the first order packets in the clusters among the clusters, and selects the packet with the earliest calculated time as the top priority packet. As a result, the amount of calculation for selecting the packet to be transferred with the top priority is greatly reduced whereby high speed packet transfer processing is enabled.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[0018] The above object and features of the present invention will be more apparent from the following description of the preferred embodiments given with reference to the accompanying drawings, wherein:

Fig. 1 is an explanatory view of the principle of packet transfer control of the present invention;

Fig. 2 is a graph of the relationship between a scheduled transfer finish time $F_{i,1}$ and a reserved bandwidth use ratio;

Figs. 3A and 3B are views of the configuration of a packet transfer control apparatus of the present invention;

Fig. 4 is an explanatory view of the packet transfer control by a plurality of schedulers in the present invention; and

Fig. 5 is an explanatory view of the principle of weighted fair queueing (WFQ).

DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

[0019] Before describing the embodiments of the present invention, the related art and the disadvantages therein will be described with reference to the related figures.

[0020] As already explained, as fair queueing techniques, weighted fair queueing (WFQ) and start-time fair queueing (SFQ) are known.

[0021] The principle of the fair queueing based on weighted fair queueing (WFQ) will be explained by using Fig. 5. In Fig. 5, 5-1 is a scheduler for packet transfer, 5-2 are meters provided in correspondence with the queues, and 5-3 is a multi-queue comprised by N number of queues (Q_1 to Q_N) corresponding to the flows.

[0022] Each queue 5-3 stores packets for every flow. The packets are processed with FIFO in each queue. The

scheduler 5-1 performs the processing for selecting the packet for which the transfer can be finished earliest from among the head packets stored in the queues 5-3 and outputting this packet to the output link.

[0023] In this way, in transfer control by weighted fair queueing (WFQ), the scheduled transfer finish times $F_{i,1}$ are calculated for the head packets of all of the queues Q_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, N$) and priority is assigned to the order of output by the thus calculated values to achieve fairness of the packet transfer among flows and effective utilization of resources.

[0024] The scheduled transfer finish times $F_{i,1}$ of the head packets in the queues Q_i are calculated by the following equation (1):

$$F_{i,1} = \max\{S_{i,0} + L_{i,0}/r_{i,0}; t_{i,1}\} + L_{i,1}/r_{i,1} \quad (1)$$

[0025] Here, $\max\{ ; \}$ means the maximum value between the two values divided by the semicolon in the brackets. Further, $S_{i,0}$ etc. are as follows. Note that the first subscript is the number of the queue (flow), and the second subscript is the order in the queue.

[0026] $S_{i,0}$ is the transfer start time of the packet immediately preceding the present head packet in a queue Q_i (hereinafter referred to as the "preceding packet"),

$L_{i,0}$ is the packet length of the preceding packet in a queue Q_i ,

$r_{i,0}$ is the bandwidth allocated to a queue Q_i at the time of transfer of the preceding packet in the queue Q_i ,

$t_{i,1}$ is the time when the head packet in a queue Q_i arrived at (is stored in) the queue Q_i ,

$L_{i,1}$ is the packet length of the head packet in a queue Q_i , and

$r_{i,1}$ is the bandwidth allocated to a queue Q_i at the time of transfer of the head packet in the queue Q_i .

[0027] $S_{i,0}$, $L_{i,0}$, $L_{i,1}$, and $t_{i,1}$ mentioned above are fixed values determined at the start of the packet transfer or the arrival of the packet. The values thereof are stored and held in the meters 5-2 in correspondence with the queues Q_i , but $r_{i,0}$ and $r_{i,1}$ mentioned above must be obtained by calculation as follows:

$$R_{i,0} = (\Phi_i / \Phi_{b(0)}) \times R \quad (2)$$

$$r_{i,1} = (\Phi_i / \Phi_{b(1)}) \times R \quad (3)$$

[0028] Here, Φ_i is the reserved bandwidth of a queue Q_i , i.e., the bandwidth allocated for the packet transfer to the queue Q_i .

[0029] $\Phi_{b(0)}$ is the sum value of the reserved bandwidths for the backlog queues at the time of transfer of the preceding packet, that is, $\Phi_{b(0)} = \sum \Phi_j$ (j : backlog queues at the time of transfer of the preceding packet),

$\Phi_{b(1)}$ is the sum value of the reserved bandwidths for the backlog queues at the time of transfer of the head packet, that is, $\Phi_{b(1)} = \sum \Phi_j$ (j : backlog queues at the time of transfer of the head packet), and

R is the entire output bandwidth of the resources, where $\Phi_{b(0)} \leq R$ and $\Phi_{b(1)} \leq R$ stand.

[0030] Further, the above mentioned "backlog queue" means a queue in which at least one untransferred packet (backlog) is stored and is a queue in which at least one packet requiring a transfer operation is stored, therefore is also referred to as an "active queue".

[0031] The bandwidth r_i allocated to each queue Q_i varies according to the number of the backlog queues. As apparent from equation (2) or (3), when $\Phi_b = R$, it becomes the bandwidth Φ_i , while when $\Phi_b = \Phi_i$, it becomes the maximum bandwidth R .

[0032] Here, the first term on the right side of equation (1) represents the transfer start reference time of the head packet in a queue Q_i . The larger value between the transfer finish time ($S_{i,0} + L_{i,0}/r_{i,0}$) of the preceding packet and the head packet arrival time $t_{i,1}$ is given as the transfer start reference time. The second term on the right side of equation (1) is the time required for the transfer of the head packet.

[0033] The scheduler 5-1 performs control for selecting and transferring the packets in the order from the one having the smallest value of the scheduled transfer finish time $F_{i,1}$. Accordingly, the priority order of transfer is lowered for a queue requiring a long time for the transfer of the preceding packet and a queue with a head packet requiring a long time for the transfer, thus fair packet transfer is carried out among queues.

[0034] In this way, in weighted fair queueing (WFQ), the weighted control of the order of transfer by the scheduled transfer finish times $F_{i,1}$ is carried out with respect to the packets for all of the queues to achieve fair packet transfer among queues and, at the same time, unused reserved bandwidths (remaining resources) are fairly allocated to active

queues in accordance with their reserved bandwidths to effectively reuse the resources, and thereby the packets in active queues are transferred at a higher speed so as to improve the service.

[0035] However, the number of backlog queues always varies, so the bandwidths r_i to be allocated to the queues Q_i always vary as well and therefore the scheduled transfer finish times $F_{i,1}$ of the head packets in the queues Q_i vary.

[0036] Accordingly, the scheduler 5-1 had performed the processing for calculating the scheduled transfer finish times $F_{i,1}$ of the head packets by equation (1), equation (2), and equation (3) for all of the head packets in the backlog queues whenever one packet was output and then selecting, transferring, and outputting the head packet in the queue Q_{out} with the smallest scheduled transfer finish time $F_{out,1}$ from among these calculated values as described in the following equation (4):

$$F_{out,1} = \min\{F_{i,1}, i : \text{backlog queue}\} \quad (4)$$

[0037] The calculation of equation (1) mentioned above includes the calculation of the bandwidths $r_{i,0}$ and $r_{i,1}$ allocated to the queues Q_i . These vary due to the change of the backlog queues with every transfer of a packet as shown in equation (2) and equation (3). The allocated bandwidths $r_{i,1}$ of the next head packets cannot be correctly calculated before the end of the output processing of the packets immediately before this.

[0038] Namely, whenever a new head packet is output, the calculation must be redone. In addition, the results of the calculations for the scheduled head packet transfer finish times $F_{i,1}$ of the queues calculated at the time of the previous packet outputs cannot be used at all for the next packet outputs even for head packets remaining in the queues Q_i without being selected. This invited an increase in the amount of calculation.

[0039] On the other hand, known in the art is the technique of introducing virtual time in order to solve the problem of the increase of the amount of calculation due to the change of the allocated bandwidths $r_{i,1}$. By utilizing virtual time, equation (1) can be interpreted as:

$$F_{i,1} = \max\{F_{i,0}, V(t_{i,1})\} + L_{i,1}/\Phi_{i,1} \quad (1')$$

where,

$$V(t_{i,1}) = V(t_{i,0}) + (R/\Phi_b) \cdot (t_{i,1} - t_{i,0})$$

$$V(0) = 0$$

[0040] The virtual time $V(t)$ is recalculated whenever the sum value Φ_b of the reserved bandwidths of the backlog queues and the resource bandwidth R change, but realization is easy since the scheduled transfer finish time $F_{i,1}$ can be determined at the time of arrival of the packet.

[0041] However, when virtual time is introduced, the time axis of the scheduled transfer finish time $F_{i,1}$ ends up becoming unique its own axis which is different from the actual time axis. Thus, when a plurality of schedulers for controlling the order of transfer of the packets are provided in accordance with the quality of service request (QoS), the time axes end up becoming different among schedulers. Therefore, there is a problem that the link among schedulers, for example, the processing for selecting the output packet having the top priority among the schedulers, becomes difficult.

[0042] Further, it has been sometimes pointed out that, if a control of the packet transfer is achieved by the fair reallocation of resources like weighted fair queueing (WFQ) without using virtual time, the amount of calculation for determining the order of the transfer (scheduling) becomes extremely large, so it is difficult to apply this to a high speed link.

[0043] The present invention provides a packet transfer control apparatus, and a scheduling method therefor, which greatly reduce the amount of calculation for scheduling of the packet transfer in fair queueing for guaranteeing different qualities of service (QoS) for the respective flows and utilizing the resources of the transmission paths to the maximum limit, which enable high speed packet transfer processing, and which in addition can easily achieve a link among a plurality of provided schedulers in accordance with the requested qualities of service (QoS).

[0044] When further concretely describing this, to solve the problem, in the calculation for selecting the top priority packet to be output for transfer, (i) the range of the packets directly influenced by the change of the bandwidths $r_{i,1}$ allocated to the queues Q_i is limited so as to calculate the scheduled transfer finish times $F_{i,1}$ with respect to only limited packets and (ii) the results of the past calculations are reused for other packets to omit the above calculations, whereby a reduction of the amount of calculation of the weighted fair queueing (WFQ) is achieved.

[0045] In general, the present invention provides a packet transfer control apparatus comprising: a scheduler for controlling the transfer by weighting the order of transfer for each head packet in the queues, the scheduler comprising: means for dividing each head packet in the queues into clusters in accordance with the ratio between the packet length of the head packet in each queue and the reserved bandwidth of the packet, and means for selecting the packet having the earliest scheduled transfer finish time as the top priority transfer packet from among each first order packet inside the clusters.

[0046] According to the present invention, (1) there is provided a packet transfer control apparatus provided with at least one scheduler which controls the transfer by weighting the order of transfer for the head packets in the queues in the order from the packet having the earliest scheduled transfer finish time for packets stored in a plurality of queues to which are allocated reserved bandwidths individually determined in advance and, at the same time, allocating the resources (bandwidths) of the entire transmission system to all of the active queues in which packets to be transferred are stored, wherein the scheduler is provided with a clustering means for dividing the head packets in the queues into clusters in accordance with the ratio between the packet length of the head packet in each queue and the reserved bandwidth (hereinafter referred to as the scheduled resource occupation time), a cluster managing means for holding the packets having the earliest scheduled transfer finish times among the packets in a cluster for all clusters as the first order packets, an inside-cluster selecting means for selecting the packet having the earliest scheduled transfer finish time for the cluster as the first order packet when a packet is deleted or added inside the cluster, and an inter-cluster selecting means for selecting the packet having the earliest scheduled transfer finish time as the top priority transfer packet from among the first order packets in the clusters.

(2) The scheduler may be provided with a reserved bandwidth use ratio computing means for computing the ratio (hereinafter referred to as the reserved bandwidth use ratio) of a cumulative value of the reserved bandwidths for the active queues with respect to the resources (bandwidths) of the entire transmission system, and said inter-cluster selecting means is structured to calculate the scheduled transfer finish time based on the transfer start reference time of each first order packet, the scheduled resource occupation time, and the reserved bandwidth use ratio.

(3) The inside-cluster selecting means may further be structured to sort the packets in a cluster in the order from the earliest scheduled transfer finish time, and the cluster managing means may be constituted so as to hold the packets sorted in the order from the earliest scheduled transfer finish time for every cluster.

(4) The inside-cluster selecting means may be structured to perform the selection by switching between processing for selecting only the first order packet having the earliest scheduled transfer finish time from the packets in a cluster and processing for sorting them in the order from the one having the earliest scheduled transfer finish time, perform the processing for sorting in the order from the one having the earliest scheduled transfer finish time when the transfer time of a packet in transmission is more than a predetermined time, and perform the processing for selecting only the first order packet having the earliest scheduled transfer finish time when the transfer time of the packet in transmission is not more than a predetermined time.

(5) The inside-cluster selecting means may further be constituted so as to select the packet having the earliest scheduled transfer finish time based on only the transfer start reference time of each packet in the cluster or the transfer start reference time and the scheduled resource occupation time.

(6) The inside-cluster selecting means may further be constituted so as to sort the packets in the cluster in the order from the one having the earliest scheduled transfer finish time based on only the transfer start reference time of each packet in the cluster or the transfer start reference time and the scheduled resource occupation time.

(7) The clustering means may be constituted so as to divide the head packets in the queues into clusters by discriminating the type of the application packet having traffic characteristics for which the scheduled resource occupation time is specified.

(8) The packet transfer control apparatus may be provided with a plurality of schedulers which perform different processing for allocation of the resources of the entire transmission system for packets stored in multi-queues differing for all clusters in accordance with the class of the quality of service. In this case, each scheduler is provided with a means for selecting the packet having the earliest scheduled transfer finish time from among the head packets for every multi-queue. Further, provision is made of a scheduler for selecting the packet having the earliest scheduled transfer finish time from among packets selected by the schedulers corresponding to the multi-queues.

(9) At least one scheduler among the schedulers corresponding to the multi-queues is provided with a means for allocating bandwidths of a fixed rate to queues in the corresponding multi-queues and selecting the packet having the earliest scheduled transfer finish time.

[0047] According to another aspect of the present invention, (10) there is provided a packet transfer scheduling method which transfers packets by weighting the order of transfer for head packets of queues in the order from the packet having the earliest scheduled transfer finish time for packets stored in a plurality of queues to which are allocated

at least reserved bandwidths individually determined in advance and, at the same time, allocating resources (bandwidths) of the entire transmission system to all of the active queues in which packets to be transferred are stored, comprising a step of dividing the head packets of the queues into clusters in accordance with scheduled resource occupation times of the head packets in the queues, a step of selecting the packet having the earliest scheduled transfer finish time for a cluster as a first order packet when a packet is deleted or added to packets in the cluster and holding this selected packet, and a step of selecting the packet having the earliest scheduled transfer finish time from among the first order packets in the clusters as a top priority transfer packet.

(11) The packet transfer scheduling method may further comprise a step of computing a reserved bandwidth use ratio of active queues and a step of calculating a scheduled transfer finish time during the selection among clusters based on the transfer start reference time of the first order packet, the scheduled resource occupation time, and the reserved bandwidth use ratio.

(12) The packet transfer scheduling method may further comprise, when achieving the selection in the clusters, a step of sorting the packets in a cluster in the order from the earliest scheduled transfer finish time and holding the sorted packets.

(13) The packet transfer scheduling method may further comprise, when achieving the selection in the clusters, a step of performing processing for sorting in the order from the packet having the earliest scheduled transfer finish time when the transfer time of a packet in transmission is more than a predetermined time and performing processing for selecting only the first order packet having the earliest scheduled transfer finish time when the transfer time of the packet in transmission is not more than a predetermined time.

(14) The packet transfer scheduling method may further comprise, when achieving the selection in the clusters, a step of selecting the packet having the earliest scheduled transfer finish time based on only the transfer start reference time of each packet in the cluster or the transfer start reference time and the scheduled resource occupation time.

(15) The packet transfer scheduling method may further comprise, when achieving the selection in the clusters, a step of sorting the packets in the cluster in the order from the one having the earliest scheduled transfer finish time based on only the transfer start reference time of each packet in the cluster or the transfer start reference time and the scheduled resource occupation time.

(16) The packet transfer scheduling method may further comprise, when achieving the selection in the clusters, a step of dividing the head packets of queues into clusters by discriminating the type of the application packet having traffic characteristics for which the scheduled resource occupation time is specified.

[0048] Turning now to specific embodiments of the present invention, Fig. 1 is an explanatory view of the principle of the packet transfer control by weighted fair queueing (WFQ) according to the present invention. In the figure, 1-1 is the scheduler for controlling transfer by clustering the packets, and 1-2 is the multi-queue comprising N number of queues (Q_1 to Q_N) for storing packets in correspondence with flows.

[0049] The internal structure of the queues Q_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, N$) does not have any direct bearing upon the packet transfer control of the present invention, so it is assumed that the queues Q_i are comprised so as to perform the packet storing processing by the general FIFO (First in First Out) method.

[0050] The scheduler 1-1 performs the clustering of the head packets in the queues Q_i (hatching part (A) in the figure) based on the scheduled resource occupation time $\beta_{i,1}$ required for the transfer of each packet. Figure 1 shows an example of controlling the transfer by classifying the head packets in the queues Q_i into M number of clusters C_1 to C_M .

[0051] The scheduled resource occupation time $\beta_{i,1}$ required for each packet transfer is calculated from the packet length $L_{i,1}$ of the head packet in each queue Q_i and the reserved bandwidth Φ_i of each queue Q_i by the following equation:

$$\beta_{i,1} = L_{i,1} / \Phi_i$$

[0052] Namely, $\beta_{i,1}$ is the time required for transferring the packet having the packet length $L_{i,1}$ under the reserved bandwidth Φ_i minimally allocated to the queue Q_i .

[0053] In actuality, as mentioned above, due to the weighted fair queueing (WFQ), if there is unused reserved bandwidth, that unused reserved bandwidth is also allocated to the active queues with a predetermined ratio. Accordingly, the resource occupation time will become shorter than the $\beta_{i,1}$, so the $\beta_{i,1}$ will be referred to as the scheduled resource occupation time.

[0054] The scheduler 1-1 clusters each head packets into any one of M number of clusters C_1 to C_M in accordance with the values of the scheduled resource occupation times $\beta_{i,1}$ of the head packets. Figure 1 shows an example of a case where a head packet $P_{5,1}$ in the queue Q_5 and a head packet $P_{3,1}$ in the queue Q_3 are clustered into the cluster

C_1 , a head packet $P_{2,1}$ in the queue Q_2 and a head packet $P_{4,1}$ in the queue Q_4 are clustered into the cluster C_2 , and a head packet $P_{1,1}$ in the queue Q_1 is clustered into the cluster C_M .

[0055] The scheduler 1-1 registers the head packet having the scheduled transfer finish time $F_{i,1}$ of the smallest value in the cluster as the first order packet to be output with the top priority in this cluster when newly incorporating a head packet in a queue into the cluster.

[0056] Further, when the first order packet in a cluster is selected as the transfer packet and sent to the output link, it also registers the packet having the scheduled transfer finish time $F_{i,1}$ of the smallest value from among the remaining packets in the cluster as the first order packet in the cluster.

[0057] In Fig. 1, the example where the packet $P_{2,1}$ is sent from the cluster C_2 and the next packet $P_{2,2}$ is incorporated from the queue Q_2 into the same cluster C_2 as the head packet is shown. When packets constituting one cluster change in this way, the packet having the smallest value of scheduled transfer finish time $F_{i,1}$ among the packets in that cluster (hatching part (B) in the figure) is registered as the first order packet.

[0058] The scheduler 1-1 performs control for calculating the scheduled transfer finish times $F_{i,1}$ for the first order packets in the clusters (packets $P_{5,1}$, $P_{2,1}$, ..., $P_{1,1}$ of the hatching part (C) in the example of Fig. 1), selecting the packet having the smallest value of $F_{i,1}$ as the packet to be transferred with the top priority, and sending the selected packet from the output link.

[0059] The principle of the packet transfer control of the present invention will be explained in further detail. The scheduler 1-1 shown in Fig. 1 is provided with meters (not shown) in correspondence with the queues Q_i . The meters are set with the parameters required for calculating the scheduled transfer finish times $F_{i,1}$ of the head packets in the queues.

[0060] The parameters set in the meters for the queues Q_i are the transfer start reference time $\alpha_{i,1}$ of the head packets and the scheduled resource occupation times $\beta_{i,1}$ of the head packets. The transfer start reference time $\alpha_{i,1}$ of a head packet is calculated by the following equation:

$$\alpha_{i,1} = \max\{S_{i,0} + L_{i,0}/r_{i,0}; t_{i,1}\} \quad (5)$$

[0061] Here, $S_{i,0} + L_{i,0}/r_{i,0}$ is the scheduled transfer finish time of the packet immediately preceding the head packet (preceding packet), and $t_{i,1}$ is the arrival time of the head packet. The transfer start reference time $\alpha_{i,1}$ of the head packet becomes the larger value between values of the scheduled transfer finish time of the preceding packet and the arrival time of the head packet.

[0062] The scheduled resource occupation time $\beta_{i,1}$ is calculated by:

$$\beta_{i,1} = L_{i,1}/\Phi_i \quad (6)$$

as mentioned above. The scheduled resource occupation time $\beta_{i,1}$ is the resource occupation time required for the transfer when the packets in the queues Q_i are transferred with the reserved bandwidth Φ_i as the lowest allocated bandwidth. The bandwidths allocated to the queues Q_i vary according to the change of the backlog queues, so the resource occupation times also vary. Accordingly, the scheduled resource occupation time $\beta_{i,1}$ is not an actual scheduled resource occupation time but one of the reference values used for the calculation of the scheduled transfer finish time $F_{i,1}$ as will be explained later.

[0063] The scheduler 1-1 calculates the reserved bandwidth use ratio γ of the backlog queues as the parameter for further calculating the scheduled transfer finish times $F_{i,1}$ of the head packets. The reserved bandwidth use ratio γ is the ratio of the sum value $\Phi_{b(1)}$ of the reserved bandwidths used by the backlog queues (active queues) with respect to the resources (bandwidth of entire output link) R and is calculated by the following equation:

$$\gamma = \Phi_{b(1)}/R \quad (7)$$

[0064] The scheduled transfer finish time $F_{i,1}$ of the head packet of equation (1) can be modified as in the following equation (8) using the parameters $\alpha_{i,1}$, $\beta_{i,1}$, and γ according to equation (3), equation (5), equation (6), and equation (7):

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{i,1} &= \alpha_{i,1} + L_{i,1} / \{ (\Phi_i / \Phi_{b(1)}) \times R \} \\
&= \alpha_{i,1} + (L_{i,1} / \Phi_i) \times (\Phi_{b(1)} / R) \\
&= \alpha_{i,1} + \beta_{i,1} \times \gamma
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

[0065] Here, the transfer start reference time $\alpha_{i,1}$ and the scheduled resource occupation time $\beta_{i,1}$ are calculated at the time when a packet becomes the head of the queue and are fixed values which will not change later, but the reserved bandwidth use ratio γ is a value changing according to the change of the backlog queues.

[0066] When representing the relationship between the scheduled transfer finish times $F_{i,1}$ and the reserved bandwidth use ratio γ by equation (8) in a graph, as indicated by the slanted lines in (A) of Fig. 2, the scheduled transfer finish times $F_{i,1}$ change linearly with respect to a change of γ .

[0067] (A) of Fig. 2 shows an example of the scheduled transfer finish times $F_{i,1}$ ($i = 1$ to 4) of the head packets of the queues Q_i ($i = 1$ to 4). The points of intersection between the slanted lines and the ordinate of the figure are $\alpha_{i,1}$, and the gradients of the slanted lines are $\beta_{i,1}$.

[0068] As will be understood from (A) of Fig. 2, in the case of scheduled transfer finish times $F_{i,1}$ of the packets not having a large difference of the gradient $\beta_{i,1}$ of the slanted line, even if the reserved bandwidth use ratio changes, the probability of a change in the order of the scheduled transfer finish times $F_{i,1}$ of these packets is low. Even if there is a change, the time difference is minute.

[0069] In (A) of Fig. 2, there is not a large difference between the gradients $\beta_{i,1}$ of $F_{i,1}$ where $i = 1$ and $F_{i,1}$ where $i = 3$ and also there is not a large difference between the gradients $\beta_{i,1}$ of $F_{i,1}$ where $i = 2$ and $F_{i,1}$ where $i = 4$. In such a case, the relationship of magnitude between $F_{i,1}$ where $i = 2$ and $F_{i,1}$ where $i = 4$ does not change when there is a change of the reserved bandwidth use ratio γ . Further, the relationship of magnitude between $F_{i,1}$ where $i = 1$ and $F_{i,1}$ where $i = 3$ inverts after the two $F_{i,1}$ intersect midway, but since the gradients $\beta_{i,1}$ are close to each other, a large difference does not occur between the values of $F_{i,1}$ where $i = 1$ and $F_{i,1}$ where $i = 3$ per se.

[0070] (B) of Fig. 2 is a view of only the gradient $\beta_{i,1}$. Several (for example M number of) ranges are determined in advance for this gradient $\beta_{i,1}$. The clustering is carried out with respect to the head packets of the queues as mentioned before according to which range the gradient $\beta_{i,1}$ calculated by equation (6) belongs.

[0071] When performing the clustering of the head packets in this way, it is possible to make the dependency of the order of the scheduled transfer finish times $F_{i,1}$ of packets belonging into same cluster with respect to the change of γ minute.

[0072] For example, in Fig. 2, when performing the clustering while assuming that the head packets in the queues where $i = 1$ and $i = 3$ belong to the same cluster and that the head packets in the queues where $i = 2$ and $i = 4$ belong to the same cluster, the probability of change of the order of the scheduled transfer finish times $F_{i,1}$ of the packets in queues Q_i in the clusters calculated when $\gamma = \gamma_1$ is low even when $\gamma = \gamma_2$. Even if such a change occurs, the time difference thereof is small. Accordingly, even if an error occurs in the order of transfer, the influence thereof is small.

[0073] The packet selection processing at the time of packet transfer output includes (i) processing for calculating the scheduled transfer finish times $F_{i,1}$ by equation (8) for all packets in a cluster which output a packet immediately before or a cluster to which a new head packet is added (hatching part (B) of Fig. 1) and selecting the first order packets in which the calculated scheduled transfer finish times $F_{i,1}$ becomes the minimum (inside-cluster selection processing) and (ii) processing for calculating the scheduled transfer finish times $F_{i,1}$ by equation (8) between first order packets in the clusters (hatching part (C) of Fig. 1) and selecting the packet in which the calculated value becomes the minimum by equation (4) (inter-cluster selection processing).

[0074] Since it is sufficient to perform only the processing for sending the packet selected by the selection processing among clusters as the top priority transfer packet to the output link, therefore the amount of calculation can be greatly reduced compared with the case of the related art where the calculation of equation (8) was carried out with respect to the head packets in all backlog queues.

[0075] For example, if there are 100 backlog queues, there are 100 head packets for these backlog queues. In contrast to the related art where the processing for calculating the scheduled transfer finish times $F_{i,1}$ by equation (8) for 100 packets and selecting the packet having the minimum calculated value, in the present invention, when assuming that 100 head packets are clustered into 10 clusters each comprising 10 head packets on an average, it is sufficient to perform the inside-cluster selection processing for an average 10 packets and the inter-cluster selection processing for 10 clusters. Namely, it is sufficient to calculate the scheduled transfer finish times $F_{i,1}$ by equation (8) for only 20 packets, so the amount of calculation can be greatly reduced. At the same time, in the processing for selecting the one having the minimum calculated value as well, the number of objects to be selected is greatly decreased, so the amount of processing in this selection processing can be lowered.

[0076] In general, where the number of queues is N and the number of clusters is M, the number of the packets in

the cluster becomes N/M on an average. Namely, by performing the clustering as in the present invention, the calculation which had been carried out with respect to N number packets in the related art may be carried out by only the inside-cluster processing with respect to N/M number packets and the inter-cluster processing with respect to M number of packets. Accordingly, since it is sufficient to carry out the calculation with respect to $(N/M + M)$ number of packets, the amount of calculation can be greatly reduced.

[0077] Note that, in the inside-cluster selection processing mentioned above, in place of the processing for selecting the first order packets by the calculation of the scheduled transfer finish times $F_{i,1}$ by equation (8) using $\alpha_{i,1}$, $\beta_{i,1}$, and γ , the following simple processing is also possible. In a cluster, the scheduled resource occupation times $\beta_{i,1}$ of packets are values close to each other and the dependency upon the reserved bandwidth use ratio γ is low, therefore packets are sorted in the order from the packet having the smallest value of the transfer start reference time $\alpha_{i,1}$ as the first sort key. Next, the packets having transfer start reference times $\alpha_{i,1}$ of values which are the same as or close to each other are sorted in the order from the packet having the smallest scheduled resource occupation times $\beta_{i,1}$ as the second sort key. Due to this, the packet having the scheduled transfer finish time $F_{i,1}$ near the smallest value can be selected by simple processing without using the reserved bandwidth use ratio γ .

[0078] Further, by using only the value of the transfer start reference time $\alpha_{i,1}$, even if the packet having the smallest value is selected as the first order packet, a large error will not occur in the selection result and the packet having the scheduled transfer finish time $F_{i,1}$ near the smallest value can be selected by further simple processing. In this case, the calculation of $\beta \times \gamma$ is not carried out, therefore the amount of calculation for the inside-cluster processing can be greatly reduced.

[0079] Further, in the inside-cluster selection processing, when performing selection using $\alpha_{i,1}$, $\beta_{i,1}$, and γ mentioned above or selection using only $\alpha_{i,1}$ and $\beta_{i,1}$, it is also possible to apply (i) a procedure of registering only the selected first order packet, comparing the magnitude of the scheduled transfer finish times $F_{i,1}$ between the already registered first order packet and the packet to which a new packet is added when a new packet is added to the cluster, determining a new first order packet, and registering this, (ii) a procedure of sorting all packets in the cluster in the order from the packet having the smallest scheduled transfer finish time $F_{i,1}$, and further (iii) a procedure of switching the above procedures in accordance with the processing time permitted to the inside-cluster selection processing.

[0080] In general, there is a variation in lengths of packets. By utilizing this characteristic, when packets requiring a long time for transfer such as long packets are sent, a large time is given for performing the calculation for selecting the next output packet, therefore the packets in clusters are sorted during that time. When sending short packets at high speed or in selection processing in a cluster in which there has only been deletion, but no addition of a packet, by using the past sorted results as they are or by switching the operation to the processing for selecting only the first order packets, the amount of processing can be reduced. Even in a case where consecutive short packets are output, high speed selection processing can be carried out without deterioration of the precision or while accompanied with only a slight reduction of precision.

[0081] Note that whether or not a packet is one requiring a long time for transfer can be judged prior to the start of the sorting by division of the packet length L by the entire output bandwidth R , i.e., L/R , and the comparison of the calculated value with a threshold value. It is also possible to perform simple judgement by comparison of only the packet length with a threshold value.

[0082] Further, when calculating the scheduled transfer finish times $F_{i,1}$ for selecting a first order packet in inside-cluster selection processing, the inter-cluster selection processing calculates the scheduled transfer finish times $F_{i,1}$ for only the first order packets of the clusters other than the cluster for which the inside-cluster selection processing is being carried out, compares the smallest value among these calculated values with the scheduled transfer finish time $F_{i,1}$ calculated in the inside-cluster selection processing, and thereby selects the top priority packet to be transferred.

[0083] Further, in the transfer of the application packets in multimedia such as voice information or video information, in general, packets are transferred after classifying the packets into some grades in accordance with the required quality.

[0084] In each above grade, the traffic characteristics such as the reserved bandwidth Φ_i and the packet length L_i are uniform. There are cases where the scheduled link occupation time β_i ($\beta_i = L_i/\Phi_i$) is uniquely determined by discriminating the type of the application. In such a case, by discriminating the type of the traffic characteristic of the application packet, the clustering of the packets can be easily and correctly carried out.

[0085] Next, an explanation will be made of a concrete configuration of a packet transfer control apparatus using weighted fair queueing (WFQ) according to the present invention. Here, it is assumed that the packet transfer control apparatus performs the queueing for every flow. It performs the storage processing of packets by using FIFO inside each queue. Further, the packet data per se is stored in a not illustrated buffer. Further, it is assumed that the packet transfer control apparatus explained below processes only pointers for the packet data per se and parameters concerning the transfer control.

[0086] Figures 3A and 3B are views of the configuration of the packet transfer control apparatus of the present invention. In the figure, 3-1 is a multi-queue managing means, 3-2 is a reserved bandwidth use ratio γ computing

means, 3-3 is a clustering means, 3-4 is a cluster managing means, 3-5 is an inside-cluster selecting means, 3-6 is an inter-cluster selecting means, and 3-7 is an output control means.

[0087] The multi-queue managing means 3-1 is provided with queues Q_i ($i = 1$ to N) in correspondence with flows and meters in correspondence with the queues Q_i . In each queue Q_i , packet tags each comprising a flow number "Flow No. (j)" of the received packet, packet length "PacketLen. (L)", arrival time (t), and a pointer of an address of the buffer for storing the packet data per se, are stored in the order of arrival.

[0088] In the meter corresponding to each queue Q_i , the transfer start reference time $\alpha_{i,1}$ of the head packet of that queue Q_i and the bandwidth Φ_i reserved by that queue Q_i are stored. The multi-queue managing means 3-1 is provided with a function of managing both the queues Q_i in the active state in which at least one untransferred packet (backlog) is stored and meters corresponding to the queues and is provided with a function of outputting both the tag of the head packet of the corresponding queue and the parameter stored in the meter of that queue according to an output request.

[0089] Further, it is provided with a function of outputting the changed reserved bandwidth ($\pm\Phi$) when the active state of a queue changes. For example, when a queue Q_i changes from the inactive state to the active state, the amount of increase ($+\Phi_i$) of that reserved bandwidth Φ_i is output to the reserved bandwidth use ratio γ computing means 3-2. Conversely, when a queue Q_i changes from the active state to the inactive state, the amount of decrease ($-\Phi_i$) of that reserved bandwidth Φ_i is output to the reserved bandwidth use ratio γ computing means 3-2.

[0090] The reserved bandwidth use ratio γ computing means 3-2 is provided with both a Φ_b computing unit and a γ computing unit. The Φ_b computing unit receives as its input the changed reserved bandwidth ($\pm\Phi$) from the multi-queue managing means 3-1 and computes the cumulative value Φ_b ($\Phi_b = \Phi_b \pm \Phi$) of the reserved bandwidths of active queues (backlog queues).

[0091] The γ computing unit divides the cumulative value Φ_b of the reserved bandwidths of the active queues (backlog queues) by the bandwidth R of the entire resources (output link) and computes the ratio γ of the reserved bandwidth Φ_b used by the entire active queues (backlog queues) to the bandwidth R .

[0092] The clustering means 3-3 is provided with both a β_i calculating unit and a clustering processing unit $C(\beta_i)$. The β_i calculating unit divides the packet length L_i of the head packet in a queue Q_i by the reserved bandwidth Φ_i of the queue Q_i (L_i/Φ_i) to calculate the scheduled resource occupation time β_i of the head packet.

[0093] The clustering processing unit $C(\beta_i)$ performs the processing for allocating the related packets to the clusters C_1 to C_M in correspondence with ranges to which those values belong based on the values of the scheduled resource occupation times β_i of the related packets.

[0094] The cluster managing means 3-4 is linked to the inside-cluster selecting means 3-5 and maintains the state where the packet having the smallest value F_i among the scheduled transfer finish times F_i of the packets in the cluster is selected as the first order packet of that cluster for each cluster C_j ($j = 1$ to M).

[0095] Further, a parameter NCP (Next Cluster Pointer) indicating the pointer of the next order packet for maintaining the state where the packets in the cluster are sorted in the order from the smallest value of the scheduled transfer finish time F_i is added to the packets in the cluster. The packets can be linked each other, in the cluster, in the order of sorting by the parameter NCP.

[0096] The inside-cluster selecting means 3-5 has a function of calculating the scheduled transfer finish times $F_i (= \alpha_i + \beta_i \times \gamma)$ of the packets in a cluster by a request from the cluster managing means 3-4 for one of the clusters stored in the cluster managing means 3-4, selecting the packet having the smallest that value F_i among the scheduled transfer finish times F_i of the packets as the first order packet, and returning this to the cluster managing means 3-4. Further, it has a function of outputting the scheduled transfer finish time F_i of the first order packet to the output control means 3-7.

[0097] The inside-cluster selecting means 3-5 can use, as mentioned before, the procedure of selecting the scheduled transfer finish time from α_i and β_i , the procedure of sorting, or the procedure of appropriately switching these two procedures in accordance with the processing time.

[0098] The inter-cluster selecting means 3-6 calculates and selects the packet having the smallest value of the scheduled transfer finish time $F_i (= \alpha_i + \beta_i \times \gamma)$ from among the first order packets in the clusters C_1 to C_M (note, except the cluster which was output immediately before this) and outputs that scheduled transfer finish time F_i to the output control means 3-7.

[0099] The output control means 3-7 compares the scheduled transfer finish times F_i input from the inside-cluster selecting means 3-5 and the inter-cluster selecting means 3-6, selects the packet having the smaller value F_i as the top priority packet to be transferred, and outputs the packet tag thereof.

[0100] In order to perform similar processing with the next packet as the head packet for the queue Q_i in which the tag of the packet transferred is stored, the output control means 3-7 activates the processing of the multi-queue managing means 3-1, the reserved bandwidth use ratio γ computing means 3-2, and the clustering means 3-3 for the next head packet.

[0101] The functional means of the packet transfer control apparatus of the present invention were explained above. Here, an explanation will be made below of the processing operation by referring to the same Fig. 3 while classifying the processing operation into packet output processing and packet input processing. Note that, in the figure, the circled

numbers correspond to the numbers in parentheses attached to the processing operation in the following explanation and represent the link positions among function modules to and from which the information concerning the related processing operations are input and output. Further, in the figure, the contents of the information input, output or held at the link or function modules are described in blocks a) to h) by attaching lead lines.

5

[1] Packet output processing

[0102] When the two conditions of both the completion of the packet output (10) by the output control means 3-7 and the output permission (11) of the next packet are satisfied, a request (12) for processing the next packet, as the head packet, in the queue Q_i to which the packet which was output immediately before this belonged is sent from the output control means 3-7 to the multi-queue managing means 3-1, the reserved bandwidth use ratio γ computing means 3-2, and the clustering means 3-3.

[0103] The multi-queue managing means 3-1 calls up the new head packet if an untransferred packet is stored in the queue Q_i , calculates the transfer start reference time α_i of the head packet, and stores the calculated value in the meter. Note, if the related queue Q_i has become empty, the multi-queue managing means 3-1 does not outputs anything.

[0104] The transfer start reference time α_i is set as $\alpha_i = t$ when comparing the arrival time t of this packet and the scheduled transfer finish time $F_{i,0}$ of the packet sent immediately before this and $t \geq F_{i,0}$, while is set as $\alpha_i = F_{i,0}$ if $t < F_{i,0}$.

[0105] The multi-queue managing means 3-1 outputs (15) the parameters of the packet length L_i and the reserved bandwidth Φ_i to the clustering means 3-3. Further, the multi-queue managing means 3-1 outputs (14) the change ($\pm\Phi$) of the available reserved bandwidth caused by the change of the active state of the queue to the reserved bandwidth use ratio γ computing means 3-2.

[0106] The clustering means 3-3 calculates (2) the scheduled resource occupation time β when the parameters are input, performs (3) the clustering for new head packets in accordance with this value β , and outputs the same to the cluster managing means 3-4.

[0107] The reserved bandwidth use ratio γ computing means 3-2 computes (13) the cumulative value Φ_b of the reserved bandwidths of the backlog queues. When there is an output request (12) from the output control means 3-7, the cumulative value Φ_b of the reserved bandwidths is output to the γ computing means, and the γ computing means computes (4) the reserved bandwidth use ratio γ , that is, the ratio of the cumulative value Φ_b of the reserved bandwidths with respect to the bandwidth R of the entire resources, and outputs the same to the inside-cluster selecting means 3-5 and the inter-cluster selecting means 3-6.

[0108] The cluster managing means 3-4 waits for exactly a period for the clustering for the next head packet to be completed when the cluster C_j to which the packet selected as the top priority output packet belonged is notified from the output control means 3-7 and sends (7) all packets belonging to that cluster C_j to the inside-cluster selecting means 3-5. The inside-cluster selecting means 3-5 newly selects the first order packet from among these packets and returns (9) the same to the cluster managing means 3-4.

[0109] Further, when the next head packet is clustered into another cluster C_k , all packets of the other cluster C_k are similarly sent (7) to the inside-cluster selecting means 3-5. The inside-cluster selecting means 3-5 newly selects the first order packet from among these packets and returns (9) the same to the cluster managing means 3-4.

[0110] The cluster managing means 3-4 outputs (5) the parameters (α_i , β_i) of the first order packets in the clusters other than the cluster C_j finally sent to the inside-cluster selecting means 3-5 to the inter-cluster selecting means 3-6.

[0111] The inside-cluster selecting means 3-5 calculates the scheduled transfer finish times $F_i (= \alpha_i + \beta_i \times \gamma)$ of the packets by using parameters α_i and β_i of the packets input (7) from the cluster managing means 3-4 and new reserved bandwidth use ratio γ , selects the packet for which the scheduled transfer finish time F_i becomes the smallest from among these F_i as the first order packet, and outputs (8) this to the output control means 3-7 and, at the same time, returns (9) this to the cluster managing means.

[0112] The inter-cluster selecting means 3-6 calculates the scheduled transfer finish times $F_i (= \alpha_i + \beta_i \times \gamma)$ by using parameters (α_i , β_i) of the first order packets of the input clusters and the new reserved bandwidth use ratio γ , selects the packet having the smallest F_i from among these F_i as a top priority candidate packet, and outputs this top priority candidate packet to the output control means 3-7 (6).

[0113] The output control means 3-7 selects and outputs (10) the packet having the smallest value of F_i from among the scheduled transfer finish times F_i input ((6), (8)) from the inter-cluster selecting means 3-6 and the inside-cluster selecting means 3-5 as the top priority transfer packet and, at the same time, requests (12) the processing with respect to the next output packet.

55

[2] Packet input processing

[0114] The multi-queue managing means 3-1 receives (1) the packet tags of the packets for performing the transfer service and stores the packet tags in the queues Q_i corresponding to the flows. At this time, when a queue Q_i is a

queue of the active state, the head packet has already existed in this queue, therefore, the input processing is finished by just adding the tag of the newly received packet to the queue Q_i .

[0115] When a packet arrives at a queue Q_i in an empty state, this packet becomes the head packet, therefore the multi-queue managing means 3-1 calculates the transfer start reference time α_i of the packet and sets the α_i in the meter for the queue Q_i , outputs (15) both the packet length L_i thereof and the reserved bandwidth Φ_i of the queue Q_i to the clustering means 3-3, and outputs the amount of variation of the reserved use bandwidth (amount of increase Φ_i) to the reserved bandwidth use ratio γ computing means 3-2.

[0116] The clustering means 3-3 calculates the scheduled resource occupation time β_i by L_i/Φ_i at the β calculating unit, clusters the packets according to the value of the scheduled resource occupation time β_i at the clustering processing unit $C(\beta_i)$, and outputs (3) the result to the cluster managing means 3-4.

[0117] The cluster managing means 3-4, linked with the inside-cluster selecting means 3-5, calculates the scheduled transfer finish times F_i for the cluster C_i to which a packet is newly added based on the first order packet in the cluster C_i , the parameters (α , β) of the newly added packet, and the reserved bandwidth use ratio γ and selects and holds the packet having the smallest scheduled transfer finish time F_i as the new first order packet.

[0118] Figure 4 is an explanatory view of the packet transfer control by a plurality of schedulers according to the present invention. In the figure, 4-1 is an input link, 4-2 is a router (classifier), 4-3 is a first multi-queue, 4-4 is a second multi-queue, 4-5 is a first sub-scheduler, 4-6 is a second sub-scheduler, and 4-7 is an upper main scheduler thereof.

[0119] Figure 4 is an explanatory view for packet scheduling in a case where traffic packets of applications for which different qualities of service (QoS) are required are mixed such as the transfer of voice packets etc. where a low jitter is important or the transfer of WWW, FTP, and other data packets where a low delay and maximum transfer capability are important.

[0120] From the input link 4-1, traffic data having different quality of service (QoS) classes such as voice packets "Voice", video packets "Video", and data packets "Data" are input. The router 4-2 analyzes the header information of the input packets to classify these packets into flows to which they belong and stores the packets in the queues corresponding to the flows.

[0121] The queues are comprised as a plurality of multi-queues controlled by different schedulers in accordance with the quality of service required by the corresponding flows of the queues. The first multi-queue 4-3 stores the packets for which low jitter is required, for example, voice packets "Voice" and video packets "Video". The scheduling is controlled so that the predetermined output rate is guaranteed by the first sub-scheduler (S1) 4-5.

[0122] The first sub-scheduler (S1) 4-5 fixes $r_{i,0} = r_{i,1} = \Phi_i$ in equation (1) mentioned above since it is not necessary to reallocate the remaining bandwidth among the queues, calculates the scheduled transfer finish times $F_{i,1}$ of the head packets in the queues to select the packet having the smallest value $F_{i,1}$ as the top priority output packet.

[0123] The first sub-scheduler (S1) 4-5 has a light load of calculation processing since the allocated bandwidth $r_{i,1}$ is a fixed value, so can perform priority selection processing following at high speed even for processing of a flow comprised by short packets like voice packets.

[0124] On the other hand, packets for which a low delay is required like data packets are stored in the second multi-queue 4-4. The scheduling is controlled so that the remaining bandwidth is fairly allocated by the weighted fair queueing (WFQ) by the second sub-scheduler (S2) 4-6.

[0125] The weighted fair queueing (WFQ) has the excellent features of the guarantee of the minimum delay of the packets and the fair reallocation of remaining bandwidth among flows. The second sub-scheduler (S2) 4-6 performs the clustering mentioned above in the calculation of the scheduled transfer finish times $F_{i,1}$ of the head packets in the queues, performs the calculation processing at high speed by limiting the head packets to be calculated, and selects the packet having the smallest value $F_{i,1}$ as the top priority output packet.

[0126] The upper main scheduler (S) 4-7 links the first and second sub-schedulers (S1, S2), selects the packet having the smallest scheduled transfer finish time $F_{i,1}$ as the output packet for the output candidate of the packet selected from the two (S1, S2), and outputs this.

[0127] By such processing, it is possible to guarantee low jitter and guarantee low delay for the flows in accordance with the quality of service (QoS) required by the flows and effectively utilizing the output link fairly among flows to the highest limit for the package transfer.

[0128] Summarizing the effects of the present invention, as explained above, in the processing of weighted fair queueing (WFQ) for selecting and transferring the head packet with the earliest scheduled transfer finish time from among the head packets in queues for which the scheduled transfer finish time varies due to the change of the sum value of the reserved bandwidths used by the backlog queues, by clustering the head packets with a low dependency of changes in the relative order of the scheduled transfer finish times on changes in the sum value of the reserved bandwidths of the backlog queues, calculating the scheduled transfer finish times with respect to only the first order packet in each queue by reusing the output priority order in each cluster calculated in the past as it is, and selecting the top priority packet, and thereby the amount of calculation in the weighted fair queueing (WFQ) processing can be greatly reduced.

[0129] Further, in the calculation of the scheduled transfer finish time, the time axis is the real time axis, therefore the selection processing with respect to the packets with the top priority individually selected by a plurality of schedulers becomes easy and the selection processings by the plurality of schedulers can be easily linked.

5

Claims

1. A packet transfer control apparatus comprising:

10 a scheduler for controlling the transfer by weighting the order of transfer for each head packet in the queues, the scheduler comprising:
means for dividing each head packet in the queues into clusters in accordance with the ratio between the packet length of the head packet in each queue and the reserved bandwidth of the packet, and
15 means for selecting the packet having the earliest scheduled transfer finish time as the top priority transfer packet from among each first order packet inside the clusters.

2. A packet transfer control apparatus provided with at least one scheduler which controls the transfer by weighting the order of transfer for the head packets in the queues in the order from the packet having the earliest scheduled transfer finish time for packets stored in a plurality of queues to which are allocated reserved bandwidths individually determined in advance and, at the same time, allocating the resources (bandwidths) to all of the active queues in which packets to be transferred are stored,
20 wherein the scheduler is provided with:

25 a clustering means for dividing the head packets in the queues into clusters in accordance with the ratio between the packet length of the head packet in each queue and the reserved bandwidth (hereinafter referred to as the scheduled resource occupation time),
a cluster managing means for holding the packets having the earliest scheduled transfer finish times among the packets in a cluster for all clusters as the first order packets,
an inside-cluster selecting means for selecting the packet having the earliest scheduled transfer finish time for the cluster as the first order packet when a packet is deleted or added inside the cluster, and
30 an inter-cluster selecting means for selecting the packet having the earliest scheduled transfer finish time as the top priority transfer packet from among the first order packets inside the clusters.

3. A packet transfer control apparatus as set forth in claim 2, wherein:

35 said scheduler is provided with a reserved bandwidth use ratio computing means for computing the ratio (hereinafter referred to as the reserved bandwidth use ratio) of a cumulative value of the reserved bandwidths for the active queues with respect to the resources (bandwidths) and
said inter-cluster selecting means is structured to calculate the scheduled transfer finish time based on the transfer start reference time of each first order packet, the scheduled resource occupation time, and the reserved bandwidth use ratio.
40

4. A packet transfer control apparatus as set forth in claim 2 or 3, wherein:

45 said inside-cluster selecting means is structured to sort the packets in a cluster in the order from the earliest scheduled transfer finish time and
said cluster managing means may be constituted so as to hold the packets sorted in the order from the earliest scheduled transfer finish time for every cluster.

50 5. A packet transfer control apparatus as set forth in claim 2 or 3, wherein:

said inside-cluster selecting means is structured to perform the selection by switching between processing for selecting only the first order packet having the earliest scheduled transfer finish time from the packets in a cluster and processing for sorting them in the order from the one having the earliest scheduled transfer finish time,
55 perform the processing for sorting in the order from the one having the earliest scheduled transfer finish time when the transfer time of a packet in transmission is more than a predetermined time, and
perform the processing for selecting only the first order packet having the earliest scheduled transfer finish

time when the transfer time of the packet in transmission is not more than a predetermined time.

- 5 6. A packet transfer control apparatus as set forth in any one of claims 2, 3, and 5, wherein the inside-cluster selecting means is constituted so as to select the packet having the earliest scheduled transfer finish time based on only said transfer start reference time of each packet in the cluster or said transfer start reference time and said scheduled resource occupation time.
- 10 7. A packet transfer control apparatus as set forth in claim 4 or 5, wherein said inside-cluster selecting means is constituted so as to sort the packets in the cluster in the order from the one having the earliest scheduled transfer finish time based on only said transfer start reference time of each packet in the cluster or said transfer start reference time and said scheduled resource occupation time.
- 15 8. A packet transfer control apparatus as set forth in any one of claims 2 to 7, wherein said clustering means is constituted so as to divide the head packets in the queues into clusters by discriminating the type of the application packet having traffic characteristics for which the scheduled resource occupation time is specified.
- 20 9. A packet transfer control apparatus as set forth in any one of claims 2 to 8, wherein:
 provision is made of a plurality of schedulers which perform different processing for allocation of the resources of the entire transmission system for packets stored in multi-queues differing for all clusters in accordance with the class of the quality of service,
 each scheduler is provided with a means for selecting the packet having the earliest scheduled transfer finish time from among the head packets for every multi-queue, and
 provision is made of a scheduler for selecting the packet having the earliest scheduled transfer finish time
 25 from among packets selected by the schedulers corresponding to the multi-queues.
- 30 10. A packet transfer control apparatus as set forth in claim 9, wherein at least one scheduler among said schedulers corresponding to the multi-queues is provided with a means for allocating bandwidths of a fixed rate to queues in the corresponding multi-queues and selecting the packet having the earliest scheduled transfer finish time.
- 35 11. A packet transfer scheduling method which transfers packets by weighting the order of transfer for head packets of queues in the order from the packet having the earliest scheduled transfer finish time for packets stored in a plurality of queues to which are allocated at least reserved bandwidths individually determined in advance and, at the same time, allocating resources (bandwidths) to all of the active queues in which packets to be transferred are stored, comprising:
 a step of dividing the head packets of the queues into clusters in accordance with scheduled resource occupation times of the head packets in the queues,
 a step of selecting the packet having the earliest scheduled transfer finish time for a cluster as a first order packet when a packet is deleted or added to packets in the cluster and holding this selected packet, and
 40 a step of selecting the packet having the earliest scheduled transfer finish time from among the first order packets in the clusters as a top priority transfer packet.
- 45 12. A packet transfer scheduling method as set forth in claim 11, further comprising a step of computing a reserved bandwidth use ratio of active queues and a step of calculating a scheduled transfer finish time during the selection among clusters based on the transfer start reference time of the first order packet, the scheduled resource occupation time, and the reserved bandwidth use ratio.
- 50 13. A packet transfer scheduling method as set forth in claim 11 or 12, further comprising, when achieving the selection in the clusters, a step of sorting the packets in a cluster in the order from the earliest scheduled transfer finish time and holding the sorted packets.
- 55 14. A packet transfer scheduling method as set forth in claim 11 or 12, further comprising, when achieving the selection in the clusters, a step of performing processing for sorting in the order from the packet having the earliest scheduled transfer finish time when the transfer time of a packet in transmission is more than a predetermined time and performing processing for selecting only the first order packet having the earliest scheduled transfer finish time when the transfer time of the packet in transmission is not more than a predetermined time.

15. A packet transfer scheduling method as set forth in claim 11, 12, or 14, further comprising, when achieving the selection in the clusters, a step of selecting the packet having the earliest scheduled transfer finish time based on only the transfer start reference time of each packet in the cluster or the transfer start reference time and the scheduled resource occupation time.
- 5
16. A packet transfer scheduling method as set forth in claim 13 or 14, further comprising, when achieving the selection in the clusters, a step of sorting the packets in the cluster in the order from the one having the earliest scheduled transfer finish time based on only the transfer start reference time of each packet in the cluster or the transfer start reference time and the scheduled resource occupation time.
- 10
17. A packet transfer scheduling method as set forth in any one of claims 11 to 16, further comprising, when achieving the selection in the clusters, a step of dividing the head packets of queues into clusters by discriminating the type of the application packet having traffic characteristics for which the scheduled resource occupation time is specified.
- 15
- 20
- 25
- 30
- 35
- 40
- 45
- 50
- 55

Fig. 1




Fig. 2




Fig. 3A




Fig. 3B




Fig. 4





Fig. 5





European Patent
Office

EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

Application Number
EP 99 11 8019

DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			
Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int.Cl.7)
A	EP 0 763 915 A (TOKYO SHIBAURA ELECTRIC CO) 19 March 1997 (1997-03-19) * abstract * * page 3, line 16 - page 5, line 53; figures 2,4,5,17 * * page 12, line 16 - page 13, line 53; figures 8-11 *	1,2,11	H04Q11/04 H04L12/56 H04L29/06
A	EP 0 710 046 A (IBM) 1 May 1996 (1996-05-01) * abstract * * column 5, line 20 - column 5, line 40; figure 4 *	1,2,11	
A	STILIADIS D ET AL: "EFFICIENT FAIR QUEUEING ALGORITHMS FOR PACKET-SWITCHED NETWORKS" IEEE / ACM TRANSACTIONS ON NETWORKING,US,IEEE INC. NEW YORK, vol. 6, no. 2, page 175-185 XP000751630 ISSN: 1063-6692 * abstract * Introduction, pages 175 - 177	1,2,11	
A	FLOYD S ET AL: "LINK-SHARING AND RESOURCE MANAGEMENT MODELS FOR PACKET NETWORKS" IEEE / ACM TRANSACTIONS ON NETWORKING,US,IEEE INC. NEW YORK, vol. 3, no. 4, page 365-386 XP000520857 ISSN: 1063-6692 * the whole document *		
The present search report has been drawn up for all claims			
Place of search MUNICH		Date of completion of the search 22 December 1999	Examiner von der Straten, G
CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS X : particularly relevant if taken alone Y : particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category A : technological background O : non-written disclosure P : intermediate document		T : theory or principle underlying the invention E : earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date D : document cited in the application L : document cited for other reasons & : member of the same patent family, corresponding document	

EPO FORM 1503 03.92 (P04021)

**ANNEX TO THE EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT
ON EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION NO.**

EP 99 11 8019

This annex lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned European search report.
The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EDP file on
The European Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

22-12-1999

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP 0763915 A	19-03-1997	JP 9149051 A	06-06-1997
EP 0710046 A	01-05-1996	US 5533020 A	02-07-1996
		JP 8214042 A	20-08-1996

EPO FORM P4439

For more details about this annex : see Official Journal of the European Patent Office, No. 12/82